Company Compan

OFFICE OF

VENTNOR CITY ZONING BOARD VENTNOR CITY PLANNING BOARD

CITY HALL VENTNOR CITY, NEW JERSEY 08406 (609) 823-7987

Ventnor City Zoning Board

Minutes

| | | willutes | |
|----|--|----------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Wednesday June 19, 2 | 2013 – 6:30 PM |
| 1. | Call to Order | | |
| 2. | Flag Salute | | |
| 3. | Roll Call | | |
| | Present | | <u>Absent</u> |
| | Lorraine Sallata Greg Maiuro Dan Smith | | |
| | Clyde Yost | | Mike Weissen Stephen Rice |
| | Mike Einwechter – Alt # 1 | L | Bert Sabo |
| | <u>Professionals</u> : Craig Hurless, Polistina & John Rosenberger, Esq. | Associates | |
| 4. | | Yost Maiuro | |

5. Adoption of the Following Resolutions

Z4 of 20<u>13 - Bruce & Joye Lesser</u>

102 S Melbourne Ave

Block 40, Lot 8

Requested various "C" Variances - Approved

Z5 of 2013 – 111 S Cambridge Ave LLC

111 S Cambridge Ave.

Block 20, lot 2

Requested CNC - Approved

Motion: Greg Maiuro

2nd: Clyde Yost Approve: All

6. Applicants

Bruce & Joye Lesser

102 South Melbourne Ave

Blk. 40, Lot 8

Requesting "C" Variances for Side, Rear, Lot & Parking

Represented by John Scott Abbott

Sworn in: John Scott Abbott

Overview:

Family compound on Dudley Ave
Want to build son & daughters home
Lot sizes meets requirements
Width is the issue being only 25' wide
Need a 6" variance on each side to 3 1/2 '

LLC is a family owned LLC

Discusses building of house A 1600' house with 2 stories

Flood elevation will be at base of 13'

For the side yard – 17' didn't work well – needed 1' more

Jimmie Agnesino recommended a side yard variance for it

No issue with drive and landscaping

Craig Hurless- The drive way cut?

An old out and a new one in

Plans were not clear – is parking going under the house

Yes

Insist that the plans show the parking under the structure If so, then would comply with the ordinance

Lorraine Sallata: Acquired this in 2002?

No, was part of parent's estate – always part of the LLC

BOARD QUESTIONS:

None

PUBLIC PORTION:

None

Lorraine Sallata: Narrow street - issues with parking - question density in the area

<u>Dan Smith</u>: Looks like they will have off street parking – under the circumstances well thought out

<u>Lorraine Sallata</u>: Density is the issue – we have been trying to fix this – have had lots of discussions in the past

Scott Abbott: Not a density issue – we have 2000'

Lorraine Sallata: it is a frontage issue. Our job is to improve and keep the quality of life

John Van Duyne: Could redo the plan to get another parking spot there. Opportunity was to keep the family together and it is good for the neighborhood.

Clyde Yost: Could make a condition for the extra parking

Yes, that is fine

Scott Abbott: this will be one of the first new homes built in the flood area – it is going on pilings – still keeping with the height needs – Zoning allows for 2000'

MOTION: To approve with a width of 3.5' side yard with the conditions of the engineers report and for 3 parking spaces

Motion: **Dan Smith** 2nd: **Greg Maiuro**

Vote:

Greg Maiuro: Yes

With the additional parking and the workmanship planned

Mike Einwechter: Yes

Like family compounds – does great work

Dan Smith: Yes

Same as all above

Clyde Yost: Yes

Hardship with the lot size – good plan – no hardship issues

Page 3 of 6

Lorraine Sallata: No

They are a long time Ventnor family – will liked – doing all we are trying to do to fix things – lot is in a small area – does not improve the Zoning

Motion Approved: 4 in favor, 1 opposed

Applicant:

105 S Pittsburgh is being postponed until the July 17th meeting – there will be no re-noticing

Applicant:

Arthur & Sandra Mattia

229 N Surrey Ave Blk. 149, Lot 18 Requesting "C" variances for Dormer & Rear Yard setback Represented by Brian Callaghan

Sworn in: Brian Callaghan

A full renovation – all will be new

Sworn in: Sean Gibbs

Son in law of owner – project manager

Reviews plans -

After Sandy, still wanted to do the work Currently a dated structure Approached Jimmie Agnesino with upgrades and plans Wanted maintenance free items

Wanted a 2nd floor deck – needed variances – it is a family home

Very little living space on the first floor – all on the 2nd and 3rd floors – need deck for family use

Dormers are nothing more than to make a better esthetic look

Sworn in: *Tom Days* – From Ponzio's Office Exhibits:

A1- Aerial Photo

A2 - Front façade - existing

A3 - Will look like - front

A4 – Rear elevation photo & neighborhood

A5 – Variance Plan

Tom Days - Reviews exhibits

Reviews variance relief needed

All conform except

Rear yard for deck

Dormer – 12' to match the façade

No detriment – no density issues

No view issues

Front Yard – Dormer – Below the peak of the roof

Does not stick out at all – add an esthetic feature

<u>Craig Hurless</u> – Verified parking & landscaping

No real opportunities for either

<u>Lorraine Sallata</u>: 2 trees are growing out of the cement – possibly put some green there – plant something there

Willing to do whatever the Board needs

Leave trees with grass or remove and do something new

Will do as a condition – will put something there

Want to be careful on the side

Board Discusses landscaping plan – clears up condition 8'x3' area for landscaping

BOARD QUESTIONS:

Clyde Yost: Back side – any awning over the 2nd floor deck

No, it will be an open deck

Talked with neighbors and they are in favor of it

Dan Smith: will it be a solid deck

Yes, fiberglass, with columns supporting

PUBLIC PORTION:

Joette Adams –

This will be very important to the neighborhood

Enhances the whole area

Quality workmanship and a great plan

Motion: to grant 2 variances – Rear yard of 7.3' where 12' is required, and a dormer for 12' with condition of and 3'x8' landscaping area.

Motion: Greg Maiuro

2nd: Dan Smith

| <u>Dan Smith</u> : Yes Well thought out – neighbors good & informed – good improvement |
|--|
| <u>Clyde Yost</u> : Yes Great improvement – no hardship |
| Greg Maiuro: Yes Outstanding job – an asset |
| Mike Einwechter: Yes OK with all |
| <u>Lorraine Sallata:</u> Yes Great upgrades – willing to work with all |
| Motion approved 5 in Favor, 0 opposed |
| 7. Other Business a. St. James Property i. Reviews what church wanted ii. Reviewed what received from Planning Board b. R7 Districts – Possibly more development i. Planning Board needs to rezone area because of house sizes c. Craig – much of this may be on next agenda d. Board discusses lot sizes |
| Motion to adjourn:Greg Maiuro Second:Clyde Yost |
| Meeting adjourned at7:35 PM |

Vote: